



Feedback and evaluation of the project

Charlotta Nilsson (WP3-leader)
Folkhälsomyndigheten (Fohm)
Public Health Agency of Sweden



www.eu-jav.com | 16/03/2022

This project has received co-funding from the European Union's
Health Programme under Grant Agreement no. 801495.



Feedback and evaluation of the project



Outline of the presentation

1. Workpackage 3 objectives and tasks
2. Evaluation of the EU-JAV program (February 2020- February 2022)

Work package 3 objective and tasks

Objective

-to evaluate internally if the overall aim of the EU-JAV program was accomplished.

- ★ Evaluate the process and the impact of the vertical work packages (WP5-WP8)
- ★ Evaluate the process of the horizontal work packages (WP1-WP4)
- ★ Evaluate the process of three general meetings of the project
- ★ Evaluate the EU-JAV globally and summarize results in reports (midterm and final evaluation reports)



Task 3.1 Evaluation plan

Task 3.2 Systematic assessment, monitoring and evaluation of EU-JAV Continuous process throughout the program

Deliverable 3.1 Evaluation plan was available February 9, 2019.

Deliverable 3.2 A mid-term evaluation report was available March 27, 2020.

Deliverable 3.3 Final evaluation report to be available 15 April, 2022

Task 3.2 Systematic assessment, monitoring and evaluation of EU-JAV

Deliverable 3.3 Final evaluation report to be available 15 April, 2022



- Activity reports and task achievements
 - were collected from WP leaders by the coordinators, and reported and discussed at the Executive Board meetings.
- Self-evaluation questionnaires
 - work package-specific questionnaires to measure if targets specified in the logical framework are possible to reach, and if not, to find out what has been done to reach the target or what measures should be taken.
- Process evaluation questionnaires
 - to catch constraining and fostering internal and external factors
- Interviews (ongoing)
- General Assembly meetings

Deliverables on the website end of February 2022 M43

- ★ D2.1 EU-JAV website
- ★ D2.2 Stakeholder mapping 2021
- ★ D2.3 EU-JAV leaflet
- ★ D2.4 Strategic dissemination plan
- ★ D3.1 Evaluation plan
- ★ D3.2 Midterm evaluation report
- ★ D4.5 Report on communication pilots (youth ambassadors)
- ★ D4.6 Methodology and plan for pilot study and draft plan for extended study
- ★ D5.3 Report on standardized estimations of vaccine coverage
- ★ D6.1 Report on previous experiences with vaccine shortages in EU countries (and non-EU consortium member countries), and responses at national and European levels
- ★ D6.3 Report on financial mechanisms for vaccine procurement, based on a survey among EU/EEA and EU-JAV consortium Member States.
- ★ D6.4/D6.5 Report on understanding mechanisms for defining the anticipated needs to ensure sufficient size of supply and stockpiles, including their sustainability and II. Possibilities, gaps and options for building a “concept type” for regional or European virtual stockpiles on vaccine management needs and stocks
- ★ D6.5 Report on possibilities, gaps and options for building a “concept type” for regional or European virtual stockpiles on vaccine management needs and stocks, 2020-02-01



Deliverables on the website end of February 2022



- ★ D7.1 Guidelines/Best practices to establish priorities for vaccine and vaccination research to increase vaccination coverage, 2020-02-01
- ★ D7.2 First annual list of research priorities on vaccination
- ★ D7.2 Annual list of research priorities on vaccination, extending from pilot vaccines to cover most vaccines used in the EU
- ★ D7.3 Potential mechanisms to increase collaboration in vaccine and vaccination research and cooperation for funding these programmes among MS
- ★ D8.1 Vaccine hesitancy and uptake. From research and practices to implementation

IN TOTAL 18 (60%) OF THE PLANNED PUBLIC DELIVERABLES HAVE BEEN UPLOADED AND 12 MORE ARE EXPECTED

D2.5, D2.6, D2.7, D.3.3, D4.3, D4.4, D5.2, D5.4, D5.5, D6.2, D6.6, D8.4

11 reports are confidential

Responses to the questionnaires have been received from all WPs



★ The COVID-19 pandemic influenced all WPs

An example of feedback from a self-evaluation questionnaire (Work package 1 : Coordination of the project)



Target 1 (a part of specific objective 1, SO1): At M6, all Governance bodies (Executive Board, General Assembly, Member State Committee and Stakeholders Forum) in charge of executive decisions and strategic orientations for the project have been set up.

- Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, MSC-meetings and Stakeholder Forum meetings were not held as planned.
- General Assembly meetings
 - The 1st annual meeting in Rome was held M12. The 2nd annual meeting was a digital 1-day meeting organized by THL. The final meeting is being organized in Paris as a hybrid meeting.

An example of feedback from a self-evaluation questionnaire (Work package 1 : Coordination of the project)



-Target 2 (SO1): The tasks are respected and completed on time, as indicated in the Grant Agreement.

- “Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, there were a lot of delays in every task.
- “It comes from both difficulty in responding from our partners and a lack of time for most of the personnel as everyone was concentrating his/her efforts on COVID-19”.
- An amendment was requested and validated in July 2021, extending the project for 8 months.

“Since then, most deliverables and milestones were submitted on time”

An example of feedback from a self-evaluation questionnaire (Work package 1 : Coordination of the project)

-Target 2 (SO1): The tasks are respected and completed on time, as indicated in the Grant Agreement.



Regarding the interim technical and financial report M18

“The platform for the interim report was opened at the end of Summer 2020. With the COVID situation, due to the heavy burden, most of the partners had difficulties responding to our requests for the interim report. All partners except one had responded to the interim report by June 2021.”

An amendment was also being written to extend the project but that was delayed as well. The interim report was submitted after the validation of the amendment.”

An example of feedback from a self-evaluation questionnaire (Work package 1 : Coordination of the project)



Target 3 (SO1): Ensure that information is communicated between all project members and with relevant stakeholders throughout the project.

Website- not updated during 2020, regularly updated since early 2021

Executive Board meetings- held regularly, only missed one meeting Q1 2020

Feedback from the WPs in response to the process evaluation questionnaire

★Internal constraining factors

- "Almost all the constraining factors resulted from the **Covid-19 crisis**. Some **deliverables were late on schedule** due to this pandemic because **WP leaders were extremely busy with the national situation in their country**, and also because the crisis led participants or other people responding less quickly (or not at all) to surveys."

- "During 6 months (Mars 20-Sept 20) the COVID 19 pandemic has interrupted our work in the EU-JAV as we were **100% committed to the crisis management**. The relation with the other task leaders and other WP leaders were interrupted for several months. Likewise the contact with the MSC was interrupted."

- "The COVID-19 situation has been **a huge challenge as most partners in the WPs are involved in the national COVID-19 work** leaving less time for the JAV work both in the WPs but also between WPs."

- "**The change of the coordinator** in WP1 had a considerable effect on the activities in the EU-JAV process. Similarly, some **changes of EC policy officers** may have influenced the process."



Examples of feedback from the process evaluation questionnaire

★ Internal fostering factors

- "All partners are involved and demonstrate very good will on the work we undertake in the project. They mainly warn us of their delays and search for solutions. Since the amendment was validated by the EC in July 2021, the exboard meetings are done monthly : this helps with communicating with them and anticipate their needs. Stakeholders' support is also quite effective as they are involved in most aspects of the project (technical and communicative)."

- "The part-time devoted to the EU-JAV has been increased for all the people working within the EU-JAV. The EU-JAV agreement has been extended to March 2022 (plus 8 months) in order to complete the work initially planned."

- " Collaboration between the “substance WPs” has been supportive and good."



Examples of feedback from the process evaluation questionnaire

★External constraining factors

- "Total implication of the MoH staff to the **crisis management**."

★External fostering factors

- "The **political will to continue the project after the crisis**"

- "There is a big **political interest for issues relating to vaccine acceptance and hesitancy**. This has supported the activities."



Final evaluation report

- WP3 is in the process of collecting all outstanding documentation in preparation for the Final evaluation report.
- Please cc. charlotta.nilsson@fohm.se when reports are submitted.
- One interview with a WP-leader (AF) remains to be conducted.
- Additionally, one WP-leader (SL) has expressed a wish to have the interview questions in writing.



Summary



- 18 (60%) of all public deliverables were uploaded M43
- All WPs report an influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on their work
- Work related to the final evaluation report is in progress